

Origami claiming Israel did nothing to Palestinians before October 7th.



Origami saying that IDF was only targeting millitary targets, while UN reports and other sources say otherwise.



Origami advocating for invasion and actions of Israel in Lebanon, framing it as if they invaded earlier, there would be somehow less suffering.



Origami doubling down and claiming that there was no conflict with Lebanon that called for war, despite all the previous wars happening, and Israel constantly entering Lebanese space, airstrikes, etc. Not to mention Israel occupying a part of Lebanon.



Posting this part because even though her arguments are always with me, and others in the Discord, and she is making sweeping statements, it ends with her telling she was actually talking about some random people somewhere (even though none o fit was clearly framed that way).





Says UN is antisemitic, when asked to clarify, she conflates antisemitism with antizionism.



She knows that anti-semitism and anti-zionism are defined differently and what they are, but she does not care.



Origami admitting to being a zionist (I know this one does not look like much by itself, but important for context – the context her being a liberal zionist while trying to paint herself as someone supporting the Palestinian cause).



Shows concern for peace when living together, and prefers a 2 state solution. Important for later.



„civilized“ dogwhistle



Sharing misinformation from a bad source, 0 fact checking done before sharing it.



Again, she did not fact check or even read the article in question. It was adressed after.



Again sharing misinformation, this time from a far right source. Again, did not actually look into it. She always promises she will look into it next time and provide context, then she never does. Makes it hard to trust it is being shared in good faith.



Says she is leaving/ignoring politics, but her actions show the opposite.



Sharing source saying the war in Gaza has resumed, which it did, but not when it says it did. The ceasefire only lasted about a day, so it was already broken at an earlier point, by Israel. She does not actually fact check it before posting.



Mocks the symbolic fact, does not understand that Israel has been doing the same, which is why it was being done, to prove a point. Does not see the analogy.



Claims Houthis are involved in the conflict for „fun“, or just „for the sake of it“.



When asked what is she basing that off of, repeats the same point. Then goes on a tangent. Proceeds to claim it was aimed at some imaginary people and not me or everyone again (look at the previous messages and a pattern forming). Proceeds to purposefully conflate zionism and jewishness again on purpose, even though we already know she knows that is not how things are. Clearly bad faith.



Claims resisting imperialism and apartheid wont change a thing, and people should not do anything.



Close friend of Ori, and someone with significant influence on her, claims to be against the war, and trying to be friendly. This is a whole another basket of issues – goes around VRChat drapped in Israeli flag to escalate conflicts, argues in bad faith, his Twitter had shit like celebrating all the wars and atrocities IDF commited, doxxing and witchhunts on Pro-Palestine supporters, etc.



Ori then tries to defend it.



Posting a comment of mine on it so you can see what was up.



Continues defending it and tries to frame it in a different way.



Ori admitting she knows what is happening, still thinks it is worth it.



Proof that her family is directly involved with the régime and funds it.



Gets tired of being called out, lashes out. Claims her sources were completely true, even though they were not and she already knows that at this point. Bad faith.



Claims only civilians are being targeted. Untrue.



Tells me to look at facts instead of believing terrorists, and to look where the missiles landed. She makes this argument in bad faith, because she did not actually look at it herself, and was wrong.



Says IDF bases are not built underground or in/around civilian infrastructure. I proceed to provide her with a list of several examples.



Says she has been there and it is not that way, but i tis that way. It has been originally just for the army, but for a while they have been rebuilding it for civilians.



Talked about before, pretends to not know and thinks they are attacking no reason/just for the sake of it, despite extensive discussion previously. Bad faith.



Says the war is starting again, even though it did not stop.



Claims ceasefire was not broken and that there were no missile attacks. There were 2 bombings just that week when she said it. Way more during the whole ceasefire.



Again, conflates anti-zionism and antisemitism on purpose. Bad faith. You can see that this is a pattern at this point. Says everyone who supports Palestine wants all Jewish people killed or something.



Claims Israel was not actually land that was taken over. Justfies the apartheid and colonialism with ancient empire. Bad faith.



Confirms to be Zionist again. Admits that they do have claims to the land, aka the land WAS taken, making her previous message before she snapped bad faith. Conflates zionists and jews again, bad faith. Says that despite all the shit happening, it will remain this way, and to deal with it.



Another close friend of Ori advocating for nationalism, unsurprisingly.





Says Iran should not have nuclear weapons under any circumstances, is shocked when someone proposes that Israel should not have any either then.

Equates Jews and Zionists again, on purpose, bad faith like usual.



And again.



Makes up a claim and then argues against it to defend the ethnostate again.



Same thing as before. Does not want Jewish and Israeli people living together.



Says Hamas agreed to a ceasefire, proceeds to post a lot of sources, all of them saying something different. Misinformation.



Says that all the goals Netanyahu has have already been fulfilled. Which is again a lie, his UN speeches say otherwise, and I discussed that with her before. Bad faith.



Untrue, and talked about before.



Says Zionism is not a thing anymore, even though she knows what it is, and that it still exists, since we discussed it many times before. Bad faith.



Someone shared data on how poorly are Arabs treated in Palestine, she refutes it by saying that she did not see it with her own eyes, so it is not happening. Denial of apartheid pretty much.



Denies the cultural erasure with degradation of Arabic as an official language, even though it happened when the law changed in 2018.



Calls all the issues „flaws“, says that apartheid should continue anyway.



Says that most Israeli would agree to a one state solution that would be secular. This is wrong and not based on any data, most would not agree. (Around 80% would oppose it and want Israel to remain an ethnostate).



She does not believe data because she knows what she has seen, and has few Arab friends. Bad faith argument.

She deleted the next one, so I will just post my replies to it. It was again full of bad faith arguments, saying how people only started caring about the conflict now, how no one cares about victims of October 7th, how people want all jews to die, conflating zionism and antisemitism, etc.







Again argues that Israel did not steal any land, and we already know from previous convo that this is done in bad faith and she is only weaponizing it. Again tries to justify apartheid via ancient empire existing.



A non-argument. Does not understand how safety index and crime index work.



Again conflates zionism and judaism, bad faith. Makes up arguments to argue against them.



Again, points that have been adressed before, and she keeps repeating herself. Bad faith unless her memory resets every few hours or something.



I call it out, and tell her we discussed it many times. She makes up an argument that she accusses me of. Bad faith.



Says the occupied Palestinians should be glad they at least have the tiny scraps.



When backed into the corner, says she is not arguing overal, or with us, but with imaginary Twitter people or something again. You can see this argument/stratégy/pattern many times. Bad faith.



And again.





She knows that this has been explained to her many times, but because it was in a different convo it „does not count“. Bad faith.



Same arguments as before. Bad faith.



And again.